| 1 | wo | |
|----|--|-----------------------|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 7 | FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | United States of America, |) CR-09-00506-PHX-DGC |
| 10 | Plaintiff, | ORDER OF DETENTION |
| 11 | vs. |) |
| 12 | Desmond Jim, | |
| 13 | Defendant. |)) |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | Defendant appeared before this Court on a Petition for Revocation of Supervised | |
| 16 | Release. The issue of detention was submitted to the Court. The Court considered the | |
| 17 | Petition and file in determining whether defendant should be released on conditions set by | |
| 18 | the Court. | |
| 19 | The Court finds that defendant has failed to carry his burden of establishing that he | |
| 20 | does not pose a serious flight risk or danger to any other person or to the community pursuant | |
| 21 | to Rule 32.1(a)(6), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. | |

The Court concludes, by a preponderance of the evidence, that defendant is a serious flight risk and that there is no condition or combination of conditions that will reasonably assure his appearance at future proceedings.

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The Court also concludes, by a preponderance of the evidence, that defendant is a danger and that there is no condition or combination of conditions that will reasonably assure the safety of the community.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that defendant be detained pending further proceedings. DATED this 19th day of September, 2016 Michelle ! United States Magistrate Judge